

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,345	NOVAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leon J. Harper	2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Leon J. Harper.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul W. Mitchell (Reg. No. 44,453).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2006

Time: 12:06pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Paul W. Mitchell (Reg. No. 44,453) about a potential 101 issue. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization was given for an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)